

PRYM VARIETIES OF TRIPLE COVERINGS

HERBERT LANGE AND ANGELA ORTEGA

ABSTRACT. We show that the Prym variety associated to a triple covering $f : Y \rightarrow X$ of curves is principally polarized of dimension ≥ 2 if and only if f is non-cyclic, étale and X is of genus 2. We investigate some properties of these Prym varieties and their moduli.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be a covering of smooth projective curves. The *Prym variety* $P(f)$ associated to this covering is, by definition, the connected component containing zero of the kernel of the norm map Nm_f of the Jacobian JY onto the Jacobian JX . We say that $P(f)$ is a *principally polarized Prym variety* if the canonical principal polarization of JY restricts to a multiple of a principal polarization on $P(f)$. In [BL, Proposition 12.3.3] it is claimed that $P(f)$ is a principally polarized Prym variety of dimension at least 2 if and only if f is a double covering ramified at most at 2 points and X is of genus ≥ 3 . In this classification one case is missing, namely the Prym variety $P(f)$ associated to a non-cyclic étale triple covering f of a curve X of genus 2, is principally polarized of dimension 2. The aim of this article is to fill this gap and carry out a study of these Prym varieties and their associated moduli spaces.

In the second section we recall some well known results about coverings with dihedral monodromy group. In Section 3 we prove that for a non-cyclic triple covering, $P(f)$ is a principally polarized Prym variety of dimension ≥ 2 if and only if f is étale and X is of genus 2. Let $\mathcal{M}_2$ be the moduli space of smooth curves of genus 2 and $\mathcal{R}_{2,3}^{nc}$ the moduli space of non-cyclic étale triple coverings of curves of genus 2. In the fourth section we show that the forgetful map $\mathcal{R}_{2,3}^{nc} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_2$ is étale (at the level of the corresponding Deligne-Mumford stacks), and of degree 60. If $p : Z \rightarrow Y$ is the Galois closure of $f : Y \rightarrow X$, then the composed map $\delta \circ f \circ p : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is Galois with Galois group the dihedral group D_6 of order 12 (Corollary 4.4), where $\delta : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is the hyperelliptic covering. We use this to show that, surprisingly, the curve Y is hyperelliptic (Theorem 4.9). This fact allows us to describe the theta divisor Ξ of $P(f)$. It turns out that $P(f)$ is a Jacobian of a smooth curve Ξ (Theorem 4.17). The curve Ξ can be described explicitly in terms of the Weierstrass points of Y (see Proposition 4.18).

The map $Pr : \mathcal{R}_{2,3}^{nc} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_2$ associating to any covering f the principally polarized abelian surface $(P(f), \Xi)$ is called the *Prym map*. In Section 5 we show that Pr is of degree 10

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14H40, 14H30.

Key words and phrases. Prym variety, Prym map.

onto its image and that $\mathcal{R}_{2,3}^{nc}$ is rational. Let $\alpha : Y \rightarrow JY$ denote the Abel map (depending on the choice of a point $y_0 \in Y$) and $\pi : JY \rightarrow P(f)$ the canonical projection. The composition $\alpha_f := \pi \circ \alpha : Y \rightarrow P(f)$ is called the *Abel-Prym map* of $P(f)$ (also dependent on y_0). In Theorem 6.3, we show that α_f is injective away from four of the Weierstrass points of Y , which have the same image.

Given a covering $f : Y \rightarrow X$ as above, the line bundle $\xi := \det f_* \mathcal{O}_Y$ is a 2-torsion point of JY . Let $\mathcal{U}_X(3, \xi)$ denote the moduli space of S -equivalence classes of semistable vector bundles of rank 3 and determinant ξ . Considering the elements of $P(f)$ as line bundles of degree zero, the direct image defines a morphism $f_* : P(f) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_X(3, \xi)$. In Proposition 7.3 we show that f_* is injective.

To any non-cyclic étale triple covering f as above, one can associate another abelian surface in a canonical way, namely the Prym variety $P(p, q)$ of the pair of maps $p : Z \rightarrow Y$ and $q : Z \rightarrow D$, where p is as above and q is the natural map onto the discriminant curve D of f . By definition $P(p, q)$ is an abelian subvariety of the Prym variety $P(p)$ (see Section 8 for the definition). In the last section, we show that the restriction of the principal polarization of $P(p)$ to $P(p, q)$ is of type (1,1).

2. COVERINGS WITH MONODROMY THE DIHEDRAL GROUP

Let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be a covering of degree d of an irreducible smooth projective curve of genus g_X . Let B be the finite subset of X consisting of the branch locus of f and fix a point $x_0 \in X \setminus B$. The covering induces a representation $\rho_f : \pi_1(X \setminus B, x_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{f^{-1}(x_0)}$ in the usual way, where $\mathcal{S}_{f^{-1}(x_0)}$ denotes the group of permutations of the fibre $f^{-1}(x_0)$. Choosing an identification $f^{-1}(x_0) = \{1, \dots, d\}$, we get a representation of $\pi_1(X \setminus B, x_0)$ in the symmetric group $\mathcal{S}_d$ of degree d which we also denote by ρ_f . Its image

$$\mathcal{M}(f) := \text{Im}(\rho_f)$$

does depend a priori on the base point x_0 and the identification $f^{-1}(x_0) = \{1, \dots, d\}$. For a different choice of these the corresponding image is a conjugate subgroup. It is a transitive subgroup if and only if Y is irreducible and called the *monodromy group* of the covering f .

Given X and a finite subset $B \subset X$, it is well known that the map $f \mapsto \rho_f$ induces a bijection between the following sets:

- (a) the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible coverings $f : Y \rightarrow X$ of degree d whose branch points lie in B and
- (b) the set of representations $\rho : \pi_1(X \setminus B, x_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_d$ with transitive image up to conjugacy in $\mathcal{S}_d$.

On the other hand, given an irreducible covering $f : Y \rightarrow X$, let $Z \rightarrow X$ denote the Galois closure of f ; its Galois group

$$\mathcal{G}(f) := \text{Gal}(Z/X)$$

is called the *Galois group* of the covering f .

Proposition 2.1. *An irreducible covering $f : Y \rightarrow X$ is Galois if and only if*

$$\deg(f) = |\mathcal{M}(f)|.$$

Proof. According to [H, Proposition p.689], for any irreducible covering f the monodromy group $\mathcal{M}(f)$ coincides with the Galois group $\mathcal{G}(f)$. Then Galois theory implies $|\mathcal{M}(f)| = |\mathcal{G}(f)| = \deg(f)$ if and only if f is a Galois covering. $\square$

Consider a commutative diagram of smooth projective curves

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & Z & \\ p \swarrow & \downarrow h & \searrow q \\ Y & & D \\ f \searrow & & \swarrow g \\ & X & \end{array}$$

where Z is the normalization of the fibre product $Y \times_X D$ of the maps f and g . Later we assume f is an étale map. In that case the diagram is cartesian. The following lemma is an immediate consequence of the definitions.

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose f and g in diagram (2.1) are given by representations $\rho_f : \pi_1(X \setminus B, x_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{f^{-1}(x_0)}$ and $\rho_g : \pi_1(X \setminus B, x_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{g^{-1}(x_0)}$ respectively. Then the composition $h := p \circ f : Z \rightarrow X$ is given by*

$$\rho_h = \iota \circ (\rho_f, \rho_g) : \pi_1(X \setminus B, x_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{f^{-1}(x_0) \times g^{-1}(x_0)},$$

where $\iota : \mathcal{S}_{f^{-1}(x_0)} \times \mathcal{S}_{g^{-1}(x_0)} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{f^{-1}(x_0) \times g^{-1}(x_0)}$ is the obvious map. $\square$

Lemma 2.3. *Let the notation be as in the previous lemma. Then $\text{Im } \rho_h \simeq \text{Im } \rho_f$ if and only if ρ_g factors via ρ_f .*

Proof. Suppose $\rho_g = \alpha \circ \rho_f$ with $\alpha : \mathcal{S}_{f^{-1}(x_0)} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{g^{-1}(x_0)}$. Then $\rho_h = \iota \circ (id, \alpha) \circ \rho_f$. Since $\iota \circ (id, \alpha)$ is injective, it gives an isomorphism $\text{Im } \rho_f \simeq \text{Im } \rho_h$.

Conversely, suppose that $\text{Im } \rho_h \simeq \text{Im } \rho_f$. Since ι is injective, this gives an isomorphism $\text{Im}(\rho_f, \rho_g) \simeq \text{Im } \rho_f$. If p and q denote the first and second projection of $\mathcal{S}_{f^{-1}(x_0)} \times \mathcal{S}_{g^{-1}(x_0)}$, the equation $p \circ (\rho_f, \rho_g) = \rho_f$ implies that p induces an isomorphism $\text{Im}(\rho_f, \rho_g) \simeq \text{Im } \rho_f$. Let β denote the inverse of this isomorphism. Then $\rho_g = q \circ (\rho_f, \rho_g) = q \circ \beta \circ \rho_f$ is the asserted factorization. $\square$

For a positive integer d , consider the dihedral group

$$D_d := \langle \sigma, \tau \mid \sigma^d = \tau^2 = 1, \tau\sigma\tau = \sigma^{-1} \rangle$$

of order $2d$, and a covering

$$f : Y \rightarrow X$$

of degree d of irreducible curves with monodromy group $\mathcal{M}(f) \simeq D_d$. Let $\rho_f : \pi_1(X \setminus B, x_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_d$ denote the monodromy representation. Consider the signature map $sign : \mathcal{S}_d \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_2$ and let

$$g : D \rightarrow X$$

be the covering corresponding to the composition $sign \circ \rho_f : \pi_1(X \setminus B, x_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_2$. The curve D is sometimes called the *discriminant curve* of the covering f , which explains the notation D . With these maps we consider the commutative diagram (2.1) with $\deg(f) = \deg(q) = d$ and $\deg(g) = \deg(p) = 2$.

Lemma 2.4. *Under these assumptions the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) *the curve Z is irreducible;*
- (b) *the curve D is irreducible;*
- (c) *the image of ρ_f is not contained in the alternating group A_d .*

Proof. By assumption X and Y are irreducible. This implies the equivalence of (a) and (b). The covering g is of degree 2, hence D is reducible if and only if ρ_g is trivial. This is the case if and only if $\text{Im } \rho_f \subset A_d$. $\square$

Proposition 2.5. *Suppose $f : Y \rightarrow X$ is a covering of degree d with Galois group D_d such that $\text{Im } \rho_f \not\subset A_d$. Then the covering $h = f \circ p : Z \rightarrow X$ is the Galois closure of f .*

Proof. By Lemma 2.4 the curve Z is irreducible. According to Proposition 2.1 it suffices to show that $\text{Im } \rho_h \simeq D_d$. This is clear by Lemma 2.3, since ρ_g factors via ρ_f by definition. $\square$

3. NON-CYCLIC COVERINGS OF DEGREE 3

Let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ denote a covering of degree 3 of smooth irreducible curves. Since $\mathcal{S}_3 = D_3$ and $A_3 = \mathbb{Z}_3$, we get as an immediate consequence of Proposition 2.5,

Proposition 3.1. *The covering $f : Y \rightarrow X$ of degree 3 is non-cyclic if and only if the curve Z of diagram (2.1) is Galois over X with Galois group $\mathcal{S}_3$.*

As f has degree 3, the ramification points of f are either simple, i.e. of order 2, or total, i.e. of order 3. Let s and t denote the number of simple and total ramification points of f respectively. Then the Hurwitz formula gives

$$g_Y = 3g_X - 2 + \frac{s}{2} + t.$$

Proposition 3.2. *Let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be a non-cyclic covering of degree 3 with s simple and t total ramification points.*

- (a) *The covering $D \rightarrow X$ is ramified exactly over the s simple branch points of f and*

$$g_D = 2g_X - 1 + \frac{s}{2};$$

- (b) *The covering $Z \rightarrow D$ is cyclic and ramified exactly over the $2t$ preimages in D of the t total branch points of f and*

$$g_Z = 6g_X - 5 + \frac{3}{2}s + 2t;$$

- (c) *The covering $Z \rightarrow Y$ is ramified exactly over the s non-ramified points in the fibres over the simple branch points of f .*

Proof. A simple ramification point corresponds to a transposition in the monodromy group of f . This and the Hurwitz formula imply (a). The statement (b) follows similarly, since a total ramification point corresponds to a cycle of length 3. Part (c) is a consequence of (a) and (b) using the commutativity of diagram (2.1). $\square$

The Prym variety $P(f)$ of the covering $f : Y \rightarrow X$ is by definition the complement of the abelian subvariety f^*JX in the canonically polarized Jacobian JY .

Proposition 3.3. *The canonical polarization of JY induces on $P(f)$ a polarization of type $(1, \dots, 1, 3, \dots, 3)$, where 1 occurs $g_X - 2 + \frac{s}{2} + t$ times and 3 occurs g_X times.*

Proof. The homomorphism $f^* : JX \rightarrow JY$ is injective, since f is non-cyclic. Hence the canonical polarization of JY induces a polarization of type $(3, \dots, 3)$ on f^*JX . Since $\dim P(f) = 2g_X - 2 + \frac{s}{2} + t$, the assertion follows from [BL, Corollary 12.1.5]. $\square$

We call $P(f)$ a *principally polarized Prym variety* if the induced polarization on $P(f)$ is a multiple of a principal polarization. In order to determine these Prym varieties, we may assume that $X \neq \mathbb{P}^1$, since in this case $P(f) = JY$.

Corollary 3.4. *Let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be a non-cyclic covering of degree 3 of smooth projective curves. Then $P(f)$ is a principally polarized Prym variety if and only if either*

- (i) *X has genus 2 and f is étale, or*
- (ii) *X has genus 1 and $(s, t) = (2, 0)$ or $(0, 1)$.*

Proof. According to Proposition 3.3 the abelian variety $P(f)$ is a principally polarized Prym variety if and only if $g_X - 2 + \frac{s}{2} + t = 0$. This shows the assertion. $\square$

Case (ii) is uninteresting, since here $P(f)$ is of dimension 1. In the next section we will study case (i) in more detail.

4. THE PRYM VARIETY OF A NON-CYCLIC 3-FOLD COVERING OF A GENUS-2 CURVE

4.1. The set up. Let X be a curve of genus 2 and $f : Y \rightarrow X$ a connected non-cyclic étale covering of degree 3. Then we have diagram (2.1) where D is the discriminant curve of f and, according to Proposition 3.2, all maps in the diagram are étale. Hence (2.1) is a Cartesian diagram. According to Proposition 3.1 the curve Z is the Galois closure of f with Galois group $\mathcal{S}_3$. For the genera of the curves we have that

$$g_Y = 4, \quad g_D = 3, \quad g_Z = 7.$$

It is easy to give an example of such a covering f . For this we exhibit a surjective homomorphism $\psi : \pi_1(X, x_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_3$. Since

$$(4.1) \quad \pi_1(X, x_0) = \left\langle a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 \mid \prod_{i=1}^2 a_i b_i a_i^{-1} b_i^{-1} = 1 \right\rangle,$$

it suffices to give cycles σ_i, τ_i , $i = 1, 2$ generating $\mathcal{S}_3$ and satisfying $\prod_{i=1}^2 \sigma_i \tau_i \sigma_i^{-1} \tau_i^{-1} = 1$. One can choose for example $\tau_1 = \tau_2 = (1, 2)$, $\sigma_1 = (1, 2, 3)$ and $\sigma_2 = (1, 3, 2)$.

In order to classify non-cyclic étale degree-3 coverings, we use the following proposition.

Proposition 4.1. *There is a bijection between the following sets:*

- (1) *connected non-cyclic étale coverings $f : Y \rightarrow X$ of degree 3 and*
- (2) *étale Galois coverings $h : Z \rightarrow X$ with Galois group $\mathcal{S}_3$,*

up to isomorphisms.

In particular, there are only finitely many non-cyclic étale degree-3 coverings of X .

Here we consider only connected Galois coverings. Note that two Galois coverings of the set (2) are isomorphic if they differ by an (inner) automorphism of $\mathcal{S}_3$. Note also that any isomorphism of two coverings in (1) can be extended to an isomorphism of their Galois closures.

Proof. We already associated a Galois covering h to every f . Conversely, let $h : Z \rightarrow X$ be a Galois covering as in (2). The group $\mathcal{S}_3$ admits exactly 3 subgroups of index 3. Let $Y_i \rightarrow X$, $i = 1, 2, 3$ denote the sub-coverings corresponding to them. They are étale and non-cyclic, since the subgroups are not normal. The coverings $Y_i \rightarrow X$ are isomorphic to each other, since the subgroups are conjugate. The last assertion follows from the fact that there are only finitely many étale Galois coverings of X with Galois group $\mathcal{S}_3$ (in fact less than $2^4 \cdot 3^6$, which is the number of all possible double coverings of X times the number of all possible triple cyclic coverings of D ; see Remark 8.6). $\square$

Let $\mathcal{M}_2$ denote the moduli space of curves of genus 2 and $\mathcal{R}_{2,3}^{nc}$ denote the moduli space of non-cyclic étale degree-3 coverings of curves of genus 2. It exists as a coarse moduli space, since the moduli space of étale Galois coverings of curves of genus 2 with group $\mathcal{S}_3$ does.

Corollary 4.2. *The forgetful map $\phi : \mathcal{R}_{2,3}^{nc} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_2$, $[f : Y \rightarrow X] \mapsto [X]$ is a finite, étale covering of Deligne-Mumford stacks of degree 60.*

Proof. The finiteness of the map ϕ follows from Proposition 4.1. We have to show that for every curve X of genus 2 there are exactly 60 classes of étale Galois coverings over X with Galois group $\mathcal{S}_3$. Using Riemann's existence theorem and the fact that the group of (inner) automorphisms of $\mathcal{S}_3$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{S}_3$ itself, it suffices to show that there are exactly 360 surjective homomorphisms

$$\psi : \pi_1(X, x_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_3.$$

Given a set of generators of $\pi_1(X, x_0)$ as in (4.1), we denote by A_1, A_2, B_1, B_2 their images in $\mathcal{S}_3$ under a map $\psi : \pi_1(X, x_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_3$. Then it suffices to count the number of quadruples $(A_1, A_2, B_1, B_2) \in \mathcal{S}_3^4$, whose entries generate $\mathcal{S}_3$ and which verify

$$(4.2) \quad [A_1, B_1] = [B_2, A_2],$$

where $[A_i, B_i]$ is the commutator of A_i, B_i . Observe first that ψ is surjective if the quadruple contains at least one element of order 3 and one transposition or 2 different transpositions. We shall consider 4 cases depending on the number of transpositions among the A_i 's and B_i 's.

Suppose first that there is only one transposition, say $A_1 = \tau$. Then $[B_2, A_2] = 1$ and relation (4.2) forces B_1 to be the identity and A_2, B_2 to be elements of order 3, not both trivial. By counting the choices for τ and the elements of order 3 we get $4 \cdot 3 \cdot 8 = 96$ possibilities.

Suppose now there are exactly 2 transpositions. In this case (4.2) imposes either $(A_1, B_1) = (\tau, \tau)$, $(A_2, B_2) = (\sigma^i, \sigma^j)$ with $\sigma^3 = 1$ and not both A_2, B_2 trivial, which gives $2 \cdot 3 \cdot 8 = 48$ possibilities, or $(A_1, B_1) = (\tau, \sigma^i)$, $(A_2, B_2) = (\tau', \sigma^i)$ with $4 \cdot 6$ choices if $\sigma^i = 1$ (and then $\tau \neq \tau'$) and $4 \cdot 9$ choices if $\sigma^i \neq 1$. So in this case we obtain $48 + 24 + 36 = 108$ possibilities.

Similarly, when we suppose exactly 3 transpositions in the quadruple, we have for example $(A_1, B_1) = (\tau, \tau)$, $(A_2, B_2) = (1, \tau')$ with $\tau \neq \tau'$, giving $3 \cdot 2^3$ choices, or $(A_1, B_1) = (\tau, \tau')$, $(A_2, B_2) = (\tau'', \sigma^i)$ with $\tau \neq \tau'$ and σ^i determined by the other elements. In this way, we get $3^2 \cdot 2^3$ choices. Hence we obtain $24 + 72 = 96$ different possibilities in this case.

Finally, by assuming all non-trivial elements are transpositions and there are at least 2 of them, we add 60 more possibilities. Summing up the 4 cases we get the result. $\square$

4.2. The extended diagram. Consider again diagram (2.1). We denote by τ_Z and τ_D the involutions corresponding to the double coverings p and g and by σ_Z the automorphism defining the cyclic covering $q : Z \rightarrow D$.

As a curve of genus 2, X is hyperelliptic. According to [R, Example 1 p.64] the hyperelliptic involution ι_X of X lifts to an involution on any cyclic covering. So ι_X lifts to an involution ι_D on D which moreover commutes with τ_D (again by [R, Example 1 p.64]). Hence ι_D and τ_D generate the Klein group $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$ acting on D and $\kappa_D := \iota_D \tau_D = \tau_D \iota_D$ is also a lift of ι_X . According to [FK, Corollary of Proposition V.1.10, p.267], one of these liftings, say ι_D , is a hyperelliptic involution on D .

In particular, D is hyperelliptic and ι_D lifts to an involution ι_Z on Z . If $r : Z \rightarrow B$ denotes the corresponding double covering, we have the following commutative diagram

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & Z & & \\ & \swarrow p & \downarrow q & \searrow r & \\ Y = Z/\tau_Z & & D & & B = Z/\iota_Z \\ \downarrow f & \swarrow g & \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow \\ X = D/\tau_D & \leftarrow E = D/\kappa_D & \rightarrow & \mathbb{P}^1 = D/\iota_D & \\ & \searrow \delta & \downarrow & \swarrow & \\ & & \mathbb{P}^1 & & \end{array}$$

Observe that $\iota_Z \tau_Z \iota_Z^{-1} \tau_Z^{-1}$ acts on the fibers of the map $q : Z \rightarrow D$. So, $\tau_Z \iota_Z$ and $\iota_Z \tau_Z$ differ by a power of the automorphism σ_Z , i.e. $\iota_Z \tau_Z = \sigma_Z^i \tau_Z \iota_Z$ for some i , $0 \leq i \leq 2$. If $i \geq 1$, multiplying this equation by σ_Z^i we get

$$\sigma_Z^i \iota_Z \tau_Z = \sigma_Z^{-i} \tau_Z \iota_Z = \tau_Z \sigma_Z^i \iota_Z.$$

Replacing ι_Z by $\sigma_Z^i \iota_Z$ (note that with ι_Z also $\sigma_Z^i \iota_Z$ is an involution), we may assume that $\iota_Z \tau_Z = \tau_Z \iota_Z$.

Proposition 4.3. *The group generated by the automorphisms ι_Z, τ_Z and σ_Z is the dihedral group D_6 of order 12.*

Proof. For simplicity, we drop the indices of the automorphisms of Z in this proof. According to [R, Example 1 p.64] we have $\iota\sigma = \sigma^2\iota$. It suffices to show that $\psi := \iota\sigma\tau$ is of

order 6. Since $\iota\tau = \tau\iota$ and $\tau\sigma = \sigma^2\tau$,

$$\psi^2 = \iota\sigma\iota\tau\sigma\tau = \sigma^2\sigma^2 = \sigma,$$

which implies the assertion. $\square$

Corollary 4.4. *The covering $\delta \circ f \circ p : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is Galois with Galois group D_6 .*

Proof. For the proof just note that the covering $\delta \circ f \circ p : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is Galois if and only if $|\text{Aut}(Z/\mathbb{P}^1)| = \deg(\delta \circ f \circ p)$. $\square$

Corollary 4.5. *The curve B is of genus 2.*

Proof. According to [R, Theorem 1] the Prym variety $P(q)$ of the covering $q : Z \rightarrow D$ is isomorphic to $JB \times JB$, which gives the assertion, since $\dim P(q) = 4$. For another proof of this fact see [O, Proposition 2.3]. $\square$

Remark 4.6. Let $\phi_{P(q)}$ denote the polarization of $P(q)$ induced by the canonical polarization of JZ . If JB is identified with its dual via the canonical polarization, then the polarization on $JB \times JB$ induced by $\phi_{P(q)}$ via the isomorphism $P(q) \simeq JB \times JB$ of [R, Theorem 1] is given by the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$.

Proposition 4.7. *The map $p^* : JY \rightarrow JZ$ is injective on $P(f)$. Moreover, $p^*(P(f))$ is contained in $P(q)$.*

Proof. The kernel of p^* is generated by a 2-division point $\lambda \in JY$. The fact that p is a lift of the covering g means that $\lambda \in f^*(JX)$. Now $f^*(JX) \cap P(f)$ consists of 3-division points, so does not contain λ . This implies the first assertion. For the last assertion, note that $P(f)$ is the kernel of the norm map Nm_f . Hence $p^*(P(f)) \subset \text{Ker}(\text{Nm}_q)$ (in fact, $\text{Nm}_q \circ p^* = g^* \circ \text{Nm}_f$ holds). Since $p^*(P(f))$ is connected, it is contained even in $(\text{Ker } \text{Nm}_q)^0 = P(q)$; for details, see [LR, Proposition 2.2]. $\square$

4.3. The full extended diagram. According to Corollary 4.4 the covering $Z \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is Galois with group D_6 , for which we will use for simplicity the following notation

$$D_6 = \langle \psi, \tau \mid \psi^6 = \tau^2 = 1, \tau\psi\tau = \psi^{-1} \rangle,$$

with $\tau = \tau_Z$ and $\psi = \iota_Z \sigma_Z \tau_Z$ as in the previous section (and thus $\iota_Z = \psi^3 \tau$). The subgroup diagram of D_6 , which contains one representative of every conjugacy class of subgroups together with their natural inclusions, gives us the following commutative diagram of

sub-coverings of the curve Z . We keep the notation of the previous diagram.

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & Z & & \\ & \swarrow p & & \searrow r & \searrow \alpha \\ Y = Z/\langle \tau \rangle & & & & B = Z/\langle \psi^3 \tau \rangle & A = Z/\langle \psi^3 \rangle \\ & \searrow \gamma & \downarrow q & & \downarrow \nu & \downarrow \mu \\ & & D = Z/\langle \psi^2 \rangle & & & \\ & \swarrow g & & \swarrow \beta & & \\ & & C = Z/\langle \psi^3, \tau \rangle & & \mathbb{P}^1 = Z/\langle \psi^3 \tau, \psi^2 \rangle & E = Z/\langle \psi \rangle \\ & \downarrow f & & \downarrow \bar{f} & & \\ X = Z/\langle \psi^2, \tau \rangle & & & & & \\ & \searrow \delta & & \swarrow & \swarrow & \\ & & \mathbb{P}^1 = Z/D_6 & & & \end{array}$$

The maps of Z to the curves in the second (respectively third, fourth and fifth) row of the diagram are of degree 2 (respectively 3, 4 and 6).

Proposition 4.8. (a) *The curves A and E are of genus 1.*
 (b) *The curve C is of genus 0.*

Proof. For both parts of the proposition we use the following Theorem of Accola [A, Theorem 5.9]:

Let Z be a compact Riemann surface of genus p . Suppose that Z admits a finite group of automorphisms G_0 and that this group admits a partition (i.e. $G_0 = \bigcup_{i=1}^s G_i$, where $\{G_i\}_{i=1}^s$ is a collection of subgroups of G_0 with $G_i \cap G_j = \{e\}$, $1 \leq i < j \leq s$). Let $n_i = |G_i|$, $X_i = Z/G_i$ and p_i be the genus of X_i for $i = 0, \dots, s$. Then

$$(s-1)p + n_0 p_0 = \sum_{i=1}^s n_i p_i.$$

To show (a) we apply the Theorem of Accola to the partition $G_0 = D_6 = \bigcup_{i=1}^7 G_i$ with

$$\begin{aligned} G_1 &= \langle \psi \rangle, \quad G_2 = \langle \tau \rangle, \quad G_3 = \psi G_2 \psi^{-1}, \quad G_4 = \psi^2 G_2 \psi^{-2}, \\ G_5 &= \langle \psi^3 \tau \rangle, \quad G_6 = \psi G_5 \psi^{-1}, \quad G_7 = \psi^2 G_5 \psi^{-2}, \end{aligned}$$

to give

$$\begin{aligned} 42 &= 6 \cdot 7 + 0 = 6g(Z/\langle \psi \rangle) + 3(2g(Z/\langle \tau \rangle)) + 3(2g(Z/\langle \psi^3 \tau \rangle)) \\ &= 6g(E) + 6g(Y) + 6g(B). \end{aligned}$$

Since $g(Y) = 4$ and $g(B) = 2$ according to Corollary 4.5, this implies $g(E) = 1$. Consider the upper right commutative square, i.e. $\mu \circ \alpha = \beta \circ q$. Since f is étale, so is q . From the facts that $\deg \mu$ and $\deg \beta$ are coprime and q is cyclic étale, we conclude that μ is étale. This implies $g(A) = 1$.

For the assertion (b), we apply the Theorem of Accola to the group $G_0 = \langle \psi^3, \tau \rangle$ of automorphisms of the curve Z with partition $G_0 = \cup_{i=1}^3 G_i$ with

$$G_1 = \langle \psi^3 \rangle, \quad G_2 = \langle \psi^3 \tau \rangle, \quad G_3 = \langle \tau \rangle,$$

to obtain the equality

$$14 + 4g(C) = 2g(A) + 2g(B) + 2g(Y) = 2 + 4 + 8,$$

which implies the assertion. $\square$

Since $\deg \gamma = \deg r = 2$, we get as an immediate consequence,

Theorem 4.9. *Any non-cyclic étale 3-fold covering Y of a curve of genus 2 is hyperelliptic.*

4.4. The theta divisor of the Prym variety P . According to Theorem 4.9, the curve Y is hyperelliptic. Let $q_1, \dots, q_{10}$ denote its Weierstrass points and let $p_1, \dots, p_6$ be the Weierstrass points of X . From the commutativity of the diagram

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow[2:1]{\gamma} & \mathbb{P}^1 = C \\ f \downarrow 3:1 & & \bar{f} \downarrow 3:1 \\ X & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \mathbb{P}^1 = Z/D_6 \end{array}$$

we conclude that the image of any Weierstrass point under the map f is a Weierstrass point of X and that there are 2 points p_i such that $f^{-1}(p_i)$ consists of 3 Weierstrass points and 4 points p_i such that $f^{-1}(p_i)$ contains only one Weierstrass point. Without loss of generality we may assume that

$$f(q_1) = f(q_2) = f(q_3) = p_1, \quad f(q_4) = f(q_5) = f(q_6) = p_2$$

and

$$f(q_{6+i}) = p_{2+i} \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, 4.$$

Observe that, if h_X and h_Y denote hyperelliptic divisors of X and Y , we have

$$f^{-1}(h_X) \sim 3h_Y.$$

Finally, denote by Θ the canonical theta divisor in $\text{Pic}^3(Y)$, given by the image of the map

$$Y^{(3)} \rightarrow \text{Pic}^3(Y), \quad y_1 + y_2 + y_3 \mapsto \mathcal{O}_Y(y_1 + y_2 + y_3).$$

In order to describe the restriction of the theta divisor of Y to the Prym variety P , we will work in $\text{Pic}^2(Y)$. Let q be a Weierstrass point of Y and $p = f(q)$. We consider the following translate of Θ :

$$\Theta_q := \Theta - q \subset \text{Pic}^2(Y).$$

Let Nm denote the norm map $\text{Pic}^2(Y) \rightarrow \text{Pic}^2(X)$ (and also the norm map from $\text{Div}^2(Y)$ onto $\text{Div}^2(X)$). In the following we will consider h_X (respectively h_Y) also as an element of $\text{Pic}^2(X)$ (respectively of $\text{Pic}^2(Y)$).

Define $\tilde{P}$ as the translate of the Prym variety P ,

$$\tilde{P} := \text{Nm}^{-1}(h_X) \subset \text{Pic}^2(Y).$$

We can consider $\tilde{P}$ also as an abelian surface, since it contains a distinguished point, namely h_Y .

Lemma 4.10. *For any $y_1 + y_2 + y_3 \in Y^{(3)}$ the following conditions are equivalent*

- (a) $\mathcal{O}_Y(y_1 + y_2 + y_3 - q) \in \tilde{P} \cap \Theta_q$;
- (b) *after possibly renumbering the y_i we have $f(y_3) = p$ and $f(y_2) = f(\iota_Y y_1)$.*

Proof. (a) is equivalent to $\text{Nm}(y_1 + y_2 + y_3 - q) \sim h_X$ and this occurs if and only if

$$(4.6) \quad f(y_1) + f(y_2) + f(y_3) \sim h_X + p.$$

The linear system $|h_X + p|$ has p as a base point which implies that we have $f(y_3) = p$ after possibly renumbering the y_i . Then (4.6) reads

$$f(y_1) + f(y_2) \sim h_X,$$

which implies

$$f(y_2) = \iota_X f(y_1) = f(\iota_Y y_1).$$

The converse implication is obvious. □

Now we choose $q = q_1$, so that $f(q_1) = p_1$ and, with the notation of the previous lemma, $y_3 \in f^{-1}(p_1) = \{q_1, q_2, q_3\}$. Hence, if we define for $i = 1, 2, 3$,

$$\tilde{\Xi}_i := \{\mathcal{O}_Y(y_1 + y_2 + q_i - q_1) \in \text{Pic}^2(Y) \mid y_1, y_2 \in Y \text{ with } f(y_2) = f(\iota_Y y_1)\}$$

with reduced subscheme structure, we get as an immediate consequence the following set-theoretical equality:

$$(4.7) \quad \tilde{P} \cap \Theta_{q_1} = \tilde{\Xi}_1 \cup \tilde{\Xi}_2 \cup \tilde{\Xi}_3.$$

Lemma 4.11. *For $i = 1, 2, 3$ the scheme $\tilde{\Xi}_i$ is the disjoint union of a complete curve Ξ_i and a point. More precisely,*

$$\tilde{\Xi}_i = \Xi_i \sqcup \mathcal{O}_Y(h_Y + q_i - q_1).$$

Proof. Note first that $\tilde{\Xi}_i = \tilde{\Xi}_1 + q_i - q_1$ for $i = 2$ and 3 . So it suffices to prove the assertion for $i = 1$. Certainly the scheme $\tilde{\Xi}_1$ is of dimension 1, since $\tilde{P} \cap \Theta_{q_1}$ is a divisor. To see this, it suffices to show that $\tilde{P} \not\subset \Theta_{q_1}$. For instance, note that $\mathcal{O}_Y(q_2 + q_3 + q_4 + q_5 - h_Y) \in \tilde{P}$, as $\text{Nm}(q_2 + q_3 + q_4 + q_5 - h_X) = 2p_1 + 2p_2 - h_X \sim h_X$. We claim that the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_Y(q_1 + q_2 + q_3 + q_4 + q_5 - h_Y)$ has no sections, hence $\mathcal{O}_Y(q_2 + q_3 + q_4 + q_5 - h_Y) \notin \Theta_{q_1}$. Since $h_Y \sim 2q_5$, $\mathcal{O}_Y(q_1 + q_2 + q_3 + q_4 + q_5 - h_Y)$ has a non zero section if and only if $h^0(\mathcal{O}_Y(q_1 + \dots + q_4)) \geq 2$. This occurs if and only if the Serre dual $h_Y^3 - q_1 - \dots - q_4 \sim q_1 + q_2 + q_3 - q_4$ is effective, that is there exist $p_1, p_2 \in Y$ such that $q_1 + q_2 + q_3 \sim q_4 + p_1 + p_2$, i.e., $h^0(q_1 + q_2 + q_3) \geq 2$; but any linear series g_3^1 on an hyperelliptic curve has a fixed point (see (6.1)), this leads to the contradiction $q_4 = q_i$ for some $i \neq 4$. This proves the claim.

Clearly the point h_Y is contained in $\tilde{\Xi}_1$. Define

$$\Xi_1 := \tilde{\Xi}_1 \setminus h_Y.$$

We claim that h_Y is not in the closure of Ξ_1 . In order to prove this, note that any $L \in \Xi_1$ is of the form $L = \mathcal{O}_Y(y_1 + y_2)$ with y_1 and $\iota_Y y_2$ in the same fibre of the map f . The fact

that $L \neq h_Y$ means that y_1 and $\iota_Y y_2$ are different points of the fibre. So, since f is étale, h_Y cannot be a limit of a sequence of line bundles in Ξ_1 .

It remains to prove that Ξ_1 is purely one-dimensional. This will be shown in Corollary 4.15 below. $\square$

Corollary 4.12. *The curves Ξ_i are divisors in the Prym variety $\tilde{P}$ and we have*

$$\tilde{P} \cap \Theta_{q_1} = \Xi_1 \cup \Xi_2 \cup \Xi_3.$$

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Lemma 4.11 and (4.7) just noting that $h_Y = \mathcal{O}_Y(q_1 + q_2 + q_2 - q_1) = \mathcal{O}_Y(q_1 + \iota_Y q_2 + q_2 - q_1) \in \Xi_2$ and similarly for the isolated points of $\tilde{\Xi}_2$ and $\tilde{\Xi}_3$. $\square$

Theorem 4.13. *The principal polarization Ξ of the Prym variety $\tilde{P}$ is given by each of the algebraically equivalent divisors*

$$\Xi_1 \equiv \Xi_2 \equiv \Xi_3.$$

Proof. Note first that $\Xi_i = \Xi_1 + q_i - q_1$ for $i = 2$ and 3 . So for $i = 2, 3$, Ξ_i is the translate of Ξ_1 by the 2-division point $q_i - q_1$. It remains to show that the curve Ξ_1 defines the principal polarization Ξ . For this note that $\tilde{P} \cap \Theta_{q_1}$ defines the polarization 3Ξ and, if n_i is the multiplicity of the curve Ξ_i in $\tilde{P} \cap \Theta_{q_1}$, the Corollary 4.12 implies

$$3\Xi \equiv n_1\Xi_1 + n_2\Xi_2 + n_3\Xi_3 \equiv (n_1 + n_2 + n_3)\Xi_1.$$

Since Ξ is a principal polarization and $n_i \geq 1$ for all i , this is only possible if $n_1 = n_2 = n_3 = 1$ and Ξ_1 is also a principal polarization. This implies the assertion. $\square$

4.5. The curve $\Xi := \Xi_1$. Recall that the scheme $\Xi := \Xi_1$ is defined by

$$\Xi = \{\mathcal{O}_Y(y + z) \in \text{Pic}^2(Y) \mid f(\iota_Y z) = f(y), \iota_Y z \neq y\}$$

with reduced subscheme structure. In particular Ξ is contained in the Brill-Noether locus

$$W_2 = \{L \in \text{Pic}^2(Y) \mid h^0(L) \geq 1\}.$$

Recall that the symmetric product $Y^{(2)}$ is the blow-up of W_2 at the hyperelliptic line bundle h_Y :

$$\rho : Y^{(2)} \rightarrow W_2.$$

Consider the canonical double covering $Y \times Y \rightarrow Y^{(2)}$, $(y, z) \mapsto y + z$. By assumption, the map $f : Y \rightarrow X$ is étale, so for every point $y \in Y$ the fibre $f^{-1}f(y)$ consists of exactly 3 points. Let us denote these points by $f^{-1}f(y) = \{y, y', y''\}$; we define

$$(4.8) \quad D := \{(y, \iota_Y y'), (y, \iota_Y y'') \in Y \times Y \mid y \in Y\}$$

with reduced subscheme structure.

Lemma 4.14. *The scheme D is an étale double covering of Y . In particular it is a complete curve in $Y \times Y$.*

Proof. Let $p_1 : D \rightarrow Y$ denote the projection onto the first factor. By definition, every point of Y has exactly 2 preimages under the map p_1 . This implies the assertion. $\square$

According to the definition, the scheme Ξ does not contain the point h_Y . This implies that the map $\rho : \rho^{-1}(\Xi) \rightarrow \Xi$ is an isomorphism. In the sequel we identify $\rho^{-1}(\Xi)$ with Ξ . A direct consequence of the previous lemma is

Corollary 4.15. *The scheme Ξ is a complete curve in $Y^{(2)}$ and thus also in $\tilde{P}$.*

For the Weierstrass points q_i , $i = 7, \dots, 10$ we have

$$(4.9) \quad f^{-1}f(q_i) = \{q_i, z_i, \iota_Y z_i\}$$

with some non-Weierstrass points z_i . With this notation we get

Lemma 4.16. *The double covering $j : D \rightarrow \Xi$ induced by the double covering $Y \times Y \rightarrow Y^{(2)}$ is ramified exactly at the 8 points $(z_i, z_i), (\iota_Y z_i, \iota_Y z_i) \in D$, $i = 7, \dots, 10$.*

Proof. Note first that $(z_i, z_i) = (z_i, \iota_Y(\iota_Y z_i)) \in D$ and similarly $(\iota_Y z_i, \iota_Y z_i) \in D$. Hence it suffices to show that D intersects the diagonal of $Y \times Y$ exactly in these 8 points. For this observe that only on the fibers over the Weierstrass points q_i , $i = 7, \dots, 10$ two different points of the fiber are exchanged under the hyperelliptic involution, namely $z_i, \iota_Y z_i$ for $i = 7, \dots, 10$. $\square$

Theorem 4.17. *The curve Ξ is smooth and irreducible of genus 2 and the principally polarized abelian surface $(\tilde{P}, \Xi)$ is the Jacobian of Ξ .*

Proof. Recall that the curve Ξ defines a principal polarization of the abelian surface $\tilde{P}$. So either it is smooth and irreducible of genus 2 or the union of 2 elliptic curves intersecting transversally at one point. Suppose Ξ is the union of 2 elliptic curves $\Xi = \Xi^1 \cup \Xi^2$. Then, by Lemma 4.14, also the curve D is reducible: $D = D_1 \sqcup D_2$ with $D_i \simeq Y$ and thus of genus 4 for $i = 1, 2$. Then without loss of generality and according to Lemma 4.16, we may assume that $D_1 \rightarrow \tilde{\Xi}^1$ is a double covering ramified in ≤ 4 points of D_1 . This contradicts the Hurwitz formula. $\square$

Recall that the curve Ξ is given by

$$\Xi = \{[y + \iota_Y z] \in Y^{(2)} \mid f(y) = f(z), y \neq z\}$$

with reduced subscheme structure. The involution ι_Y induces the hyperelliptic involution on Ξ by

$$\iota_\Xi : \Xi \rightarrow \Xi, \quad [y + \iota_Y z] \mapsto \iota_Y([y + \iota_Y z]) = [z + \iota_Y y].$$

So the following proposition is immediate.

Proposition 4.18. *If $\{q_1, \dots, q_{10}\}$ denote the Weierstrass points of Y as above, i.e. with $f^{-1}(p_1) = \{q_1, q_2, q_3\}$ and $f^{-1}(p_2) = \{q_4, q_5, q_6\}$, then*

$$\{[q_1 + q_2], [q_1 + q_3], [q_2 + q_3], [q_4 + q_5], [q_4 + q_6], [q_5 + q_6]\}$$

are the Weierstrass points of Ξ .

5. THE PRYM MAP

Let $\mathcal{A}_2$ denote the moduli space of principally polarized abelian surfaces. The *Prym map* for non-cyclic triple coverings is the map

$$Pr : \mathcal{R}_{2,3}^{nc} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_2, \quad [f : Y \rightarrow X] \mapsto P(f).$$

According to Theorem 4.17 the image of the Prym map is contained in the open subset of $\mathcal{A}_2$ consisting of Jacobians of smooth curves of genus 2.

Theorem 5.1. *The Prym map $Pr : \mathcal{R}_{2,3}^{nc} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_2$ is finite of degree 10 onto its image.*

Proof. Let $[f : Y \rightarrow X] \in \mathcal{R}_{2,3}^{nc}$ and suppose $P(f) = J\Xi$. We have the following commutative diagram

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} Y & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \mathbb{P}^1 & \xleftarrow{\varphi} & \Xi \\ f \downarrow & & \bar{f} \downarrow & \swarrow \psi & \\ X & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \mathbb{P}^1 & & \end{array}$$

where the left hand square is diagram (4.5), φ is the hyperelliptic covering and ψ the composed map. Let the notation of the Weierstrass points of X and Y be as above. Then the Weierstrass points of Ξ are given by Proposition 4.18. The map ψ is given by a pencil $g_6^1 \subset |3K_\Xi|$ whose ramification divisor consists of the 6 Weierstrass points of Ξ and the 8 preimages of the 4 ramification points over $\delta(p_3), \dots, \delta(p_6)$.

Conversely, let Ξ be a smooth curve of genus 2 with Weierstrass points $\{w_1, \dots, w_6\}$. Consider the pencil $g_6^1 \subset |3K_\Xi|$ generated by 2 divisors of the form $2w_i + 2w_j + 2w_k$ where the union of the w_i 's in both divisors equals $\{w_1, \dots, w_6\}$. Hence the corresponding 6:1 covering $\psi : \Xi \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ factors via the hyperelliptic covering, because the generating divisors are sums of divisors linear equivalent to K_Ξ . So we have the commutative triangle of diagram (5.1).

Let x_1, x_2 be the branch points of ψ in $\mathbb{P}^1$ corresponding to the two generating divisors of the g_6^1 . Thus $\bar{f}$ is étale over x_1 and x_2 . Assume for the moment that $\bar{f}$ is simply ramified, say over the points $x_3, \dots, x_6 \in \mathbb{P}^1$. Let $\delta : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be the double covering ramified in $x_1, \dots, x_6$ and let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be the normalization of the pull-back of $\bar{f}$ by δ . Clearly f is étale. Moreover, we claim that f is non-cyclic. If f was cyclic the corresponding automorphism of order 3 would permute the Weierstrass points of Y ; but since there are 10 of them, this automorphism would have fixed points, contradicting the fact that f is étale. So we are in the situation of diagram (5.1). In particular, we have $P(f) = J\Xi$. Clearly every covering f with $Pr(f) = J\Xi$ arises in this way. Moreover, f is uniquely determined by the choice of a partition of $\{w_1, \dots, w_6\}$ into 2 subsets of three elements. Hence, under the above assumption, we conclude that there are exactly $\frac{1}{2} \binom{6}{3} = 10$ elements in the fibre $Pr^{-1}(J\Xi)$.

Observe that if $\bar{f}$ is not simply ramified, then either it has 2 triple ramification points, or it has 1 triple ramification point and 2 simple ones. In the former case ψ is ramified over 4 branch points, in the last case ψ ramifies over 5 points. We claim that a general Ξ does not admit such coverings over $\mathbb{P}^1$. This will show that for a general Ξ all 10 pencils satisfy the assumption above. To prove the claim, we estimate the dimension of

the following locus in $\mathcal{M}_2$

$$\mathcal{N}_b := \{[C] \in \mathcal{M}_2 \mid C \text{ has a base point free } g_6^1 \text{ branched over } b \text{ points}\},$$

for $b = 4, 5$. Let $\mathcal{H}_{6,b}$ denote the Hurwitz scheme of coverings $\psi : C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ of degree 6 branched over b points. It is known that the Hurwitz schemes have expected dimension b , the number of branch points. Hence the dimension of $\mathcal{N}_b$, for $b = 4, 5$, is at most $\dim \mathcal{H}_{6,b} - \dim \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1) \leq 2$, which is less than the dimension of $\mathcal{M}_2$. This completes the proof. $\square$

Proposition 5.2. *The moduli space $\mathcal{R}_{2,3}^{nc}$ is rational.*

Proof. Consider the diagram (5.1). The moduli space $\bar{\mathcal{V}}$ of triple coverings $\bar{f} : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is a rational curve according to [EEHS, pp. 96-98]. Let $\mathcal{V}$ denote its open subset consisting of triple coverings branched over 4 distinct points. Let $B(\bar{f}) \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ be the branch locus of $\bar{f}$. A pair $(p_1 + p_2, \bar{f})$ in a Zariski open subset of $(\mathbb{P}^1)^{(2)} \times \mathcal{V}$ determines uniquely a genus 2 curve X whose hyperelliptic covering δ has branched locus $B(\bar{f}) \cup \{p_1, p_2\}$ and a triple covering $f : Y \rightarrow X$ defined as the normalization of the pullback of $\bar{f}$ by δ . Conversely, it is clear from what we have said above (see diagram (5.1)), that every element $[f : Y \rightarrow X] \in \mathcal{R}_{2,3}^{nc}$ defines a point in $(\mathbb{P}^1)^{(2)} \times \mathcal{V}$. Thus we conclude that $\mathcal{R}_{2,3}^{nc}$ is birational to $\mathbb{P}^3$. $\square$

6. THE ABEL-PRYM MAP

Let $\alpha_{y_0} : Y \rightarrow JY$ denote the Abel map with respect to a point $y_0 \in Y$ and $\pi : JY \rightarrow P$ the canonical projection. The composition

$$\alpha_f : Y \xrightarrow{\alpha_{y_0}} JY \xrightarrow{\pi} P$$

is called the *Abel-Prym map* of $P = P(f)$.

Recall that every endomorphism of the Jacobian JY is given by a correspondence on Y . In order to study the Abel-Prym map α_f , we need a correspondence inducing $\pi : JY \rightarrow P$. For this we use the following notation: if y is a point of Y , let y' and y'' denote the other 2 points of the fibre $f^{-1}(f(y))$.

Lemma 6.1. *The projection $\pi : JY \rightarrow P$ is induced by the following correspondence on Y :*

$$y \mapsto 2y - y' - y''.$$

Proof. P is the complement of the abelian subvariety f^*JX in the principally polarized abelian variety JY . The projection $JY \rightarrow f^*JX$ is the image of the trace correspondence $T : y \mapsto y + y' + y''$. According to [BL, Section 5.3], if an abelian subvariety of exponent e is induced by a correspondence D , the complementary abelian subvariety is the image of $e \cdot \text{id}_{JY} - D$. This implies the assertion, since f^*JX is of exponent 3 in JY . $\square$

Lemma 6.2. (1) *For any 2 points $y_1, y_2 \in Y$,*

$$\alpha_f(y_1) = \alpha_f(y_2) \iff 2y_1 + y'_2 + y''_2 \sim 2y_2 + y'_1 + y''_1.$$

(2) *For 2 points y, y' in a fibre of f ,*

$$\alpha_f(y) = \alpha_f(y') \iff 3y \sim 3y'.$$

Proof. Lemma 6.1 implies that $\alpha_f(y_1) = \alpha_f(y_2)$ if and only if $2y_1 - y'_1 - y''_1 \sim 2y_2 - y'_2 - y''_2$, which gives (1). Inserting $y_1 = y$ and $y_2 = y'$, (1) gives $2y + y + y'' \sim 2y' + y' + y''$ which implies (2). $\square$

Recall the notation of the Weierstrass points of Y and X from subsection 4.4. In particular, according to (4.9), $f^{-1}f(q_i) = \{q_i, z_i, \iota_Y z_i\}$ for the Weierstrass points q_i , $i = 7, \dots, 10$ with non-Weierstrass points z_i . Then we have

Theorem 6.3. *The Abel-Prym map $\alpha_f : Y \rightarrow P$ is injective away from the Weierstrass points $q_7, \dots, q_{10}$, which have the same image.*

Proof. According to Theorem 4.9 the curve Y is hyperelliptic. It is a consequence of the geometric version of the Riemann-Roch theorem that any complete linear system g_d^r is of the form (see [ACGH], p. 13)

$$(6.1) \quad rh_Y + a_1 + \dots + a_{d-2r}.$$

Suppose now $y_1, y_2 \in Y$, with $\alpha_f(y_1) = \alpha_f(y_2)$. If $f(y_1) = f(y_2)$ then, according to Lemma 6.2, $3y_1 \sim 3y_2$, which gives $y_1 = y_2$ by equation (6.1).

If $f(y_1) \neq f(y_2)$, Lemma 6.2 implies $2y_1 + y'_2 + y''_2 \sim 2y_2 + y'_1 + y''_1$. If this linear system is a complete g_4^1 then, by (6.1) it has two fixed points, which implies $y_1 = y_2$. So suppose it is a subsystem of a two-dimensional linear system g_4^2 . Then it follows from (6.1) that y_1 and y_2 are Weierstrass points and $y'_1 = \iota_Y y'_1$ as well as $y''_2 = \iota_Y y'_2$. This implies

$$y_1, y_2 \in \{q_7, \dots, q_{10}\}.$$

Conversely, if $y_1, y_2 \in \{q_7, \dots, q_{10}\}$ then, according to (4.9), we have $y''_i = \iota_Y y'_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. Now Lemma 6.2 implies that $\alpha_f(y_1) = \alpha_f(y_2)$. $\square$

7. DIRECT IMAGES OF LINE BUNDLES ON Y

According to [M, Proposition 4.7]

$$f_*\mathcal{O}_Y = \mathcal{O}_X \oplus E,$$

with E a vector bundle of rank 2 such that $(\det E)^2 = \mathcal{O}_X$. In the case of a cyclic triple covering f given by a line bundle $\eta \in JX[3] \setminus \{0\}$ it is well known that $E \simeq \eta \oplus \eta^2$. In the non-cyclic case we have

Lemma 7.1. *The vector bundle E is stable.*

Proof. Consider the commutative diagram (2.1) and let $h : Z \rightarrow X$ denote the composition $h = f \circ p = g \circ q$. Let $\eta \in JD[3] \setminus \{0\}$ and $\lambda \in JX[2] \setminus \{0\}$ be the line bundles associated to the coverings q and g . So $\text{Ker } q^* = \langle \eta \rangle$, $\text{Ker } g^* = \langle \lambda \rangle$. Since $f^* : JX \rightarrow JY$ is injective, $|\text{Ker } h^*| \leq |\text{Ker } p^*| = 2$. If η was in the image of g^* then the inverse images of η and η^2 would be in the kernel of h^* , but $\text{Ker } h^*$ contains at most one non-trivial element. So, $\eta \notin \text{Im } g^*$. On the other hand, by flat base change

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_D \oplus \eta \oplus \eta^2 &= q_*\mathcal{O}_Z \\ &= q_*p^*\mathcal{O}_Y \\ &= g^*f_*\mathcal{O}_Y \\ &= \mathcal{O}_D \oplus g^*E. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $g^*E \simeq \eta \oplus \eta^2$. The semistability of E follows from the fact that $f_*\mathcal{O}_Y$ is semistable (see [B, Proof of Proposition 4.1]) and E is of degree zero. Suppose that E has a line subbundle of degree 0, $L_1 \hookrightarrow E$. Without loss of generality, we might assume that the composition map

$$g^*L_1 \hookrightarrow g^*E \simeq \eta \oplus \eta^2 \rightarrow \eta$$

is non zero, where the last arrow is the projection. Since η is also of degree 0, this map gives an isomorphism $g^*L_1 \simeq \eta$, which contradicts the fact $\eta \notin g^*(JX)$. Therefore, E is stable. $\square$

As a consequence of the proof, we can say more about the determinant of E :

Corollary 7.2. *Let λ be the 2-division point defining the covering $g : D \rightarrow X$. Then $\det E \in \{\mathcal{O}_X, \lambda\}$.*

Proof. In the proof of the previous lemma, we saw that $g^*E = \eta \oplus \eta^2$, where η is the 3-division point of JD defining the covering $q : Z \rightarrow D$. This implies that

$$g^*\det E = \det g^*E = \mathcal{O}_D$$

which implies the assertion. $\square$

Let ξ denote the 2-division point $\det E$ of $\text{Pic}^0(X)$ and let $\mathcal{U}_X(3, \xi)$ denote the moduli space of S-equivalence classes of semistable rank 3 vector bundles over X with determinant ξ . The direct image f_*L of a line bundle L on Y is vector bundle of rank 3 on X and by [B, Proof of Proposition 4.1] it is semi-stable. Moreover, for any line bundle L on Y the determinant of f_*L is given by the following formula

$$(7.1) \quad \det f_*L = \text{Nm } L \otimes \det f_*\mathcal{O}_Y$$

(observe that the formula is trivial for $L = \mathcal{O}_Y$, then one can apply induction on the degree of L using the fact that any line bundle L' fits in an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow L' \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_p \rightarrow 0$, where $\deg L = \deg L' - 1$ and $\mathcal{O}_p$ is the skyscraper sheaf supported at the point $p \in Y$). Thus f induces a morphism $f_* : P(f) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_X(3, \xi)$.

Proposition 7.3. *The direct image morphism*

$$f_* : P(f) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_X(3, \xi)$$

is injective.

Proof. Recall the diagram (2.1). According to Proposition 3.1, the curve Z is Galois over X with Galois group $D_3 = \langle \sigma, \tau \mid \sigma^3 = \tau^2 = 1, \tau\sigma\tau = \sigma^2 \rangle$. For $i = 0, 1, 2$ denote $Z_i = Z$ and define $p_0 = p : Z_0 \rightarrow Y$, $p_1 = p \circ \sigma : Z_1 \rightarrow Y$ and $p_2 = p \circ \sigma^2 : Z_2 \rightarrow Y$. Then the following diagram is cartesian

$$(7.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \bigsqcup_{i=0}^2 Z_i & \xrightarrow{f'} & Z \\ h' \downarrow & & \downarrow h \\ Y & \xrightarrow{f} & X \end{array}$$

where f' is the identity on each Z_i and $h'|_{Z_i} = p_i$ for $i = 0, 1, 2$.

Suppose now that $L, L' \in P(f)$ with $f_*L \simeq f_*L'$. Applying flat base change to diagram (7.2), we get

$$\oplus_{i=0}^2 p_i^* L = f'_* h'^* L \simeq h^* f_* L = h^* f_* L' \simeq f'_* h'^* L' = \oplus_{i=0}^2 p_i^* L'.$$

This implies

$$p^* L = p_0^* L \simeq p_i^* L' = (\sigma^i)^* p^* L'$$

for some $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$. Hence, since $p^*|_{P(f)}$ is injective according to Proposition 4.7, it suffices to show that $p^* L \simeq (\sigma^i)^* p^* L'$ implies $p^* L \simeq p^* L'$ for $i = 1$ and 2 . Moreover, replacing σ by σ^2 , we may assume $i = 1$.

So assume that $p^* L = \sigma^* p^* L'$ for some $L, L' \in P(f)$. It suffices to show that this implies

$$(7.3) \quad p^* L \in \text{Fix}(\sigma^*),$$

since then $p^* L' \simeq (\sigma^2)^* p^* L = p^* L$ and thus $L \simeq L'$.

Now the assumption means that the pair (L, L'^{-1}) is in the kernel of the morphism

$$r : P(f) \times P(f) \rightarrow JZ, \quad (L, L') \mapsto p^* L \otimes \sigma^* p^* L'.$$

It is well known that r is a D_3 -equivariant isogeny onto its image (for the first proof of this see [RR, Theorem 5.4]). Here the action on the left hand side is the standard action of D_3 given by

$$\tau \mapsto \tilde{\tau} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma \mapsto \tilde{\sigma} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and the action on the right hand side is the action induced on JZ from Z . In particular the kernel of the homomorphism r is a D_3 -invariant subgroup of $P(f) \times P(f)$.

By hypothesis $(L, L'^{-1}) \in \text{Ker}(r)$. So also $\tilde{\sigma}(L, L'^{-1}) = (L', L \otimes L') \in \text{Ker}(r)$ and $\tilde{\tau}(L, L'^{-1}) = (L \otimes L', L') \in \text{Ker}(r)$. Together with the assumption $p^* L = \sigma^* p^* L'$ (or equivalently $(\sigma^2)^* p^* L = p^* L'$) this gives the equalities

$$(\sigma^2)^* p^* L \otimes \sigma^* p^* L \otimes p^* L = 0, \quad (\sigma^2)^* p^* L \otimes (p^* L)^2 = 0.$$

These equations imply

$$\sigma^* p^* L \simeq (\sigma^2)^* p^* L^{-1} \otimes p^* L^{-1} \simeq p^* L$$

which was to be shown. □

8. THE PRYM VARIETY OF THE PAIR (p, q)

Let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be a non-cyclic étale degree 3 covering of a curve X of genus 2 and consider the associated diagram (2.1). According to [LR] the *Prym variety* $P(p, q)$ of the pair of coverings (p, q) is defined to be the complement of the abelian subvariety $q^* P(g)$ in the Prym variety $P(p)$ with respect to the restriction of the canonical polarization of $J(Z)$.

Proposition 8.1. *$P(p, q)$ is an abelian surface.*

Proof. $\dim P(p, q) = \dim JZ - \dim JY - \dim P(g) = 7 - 4 - 1 = 2$. □

Hence we have associated to the abelian surface $P(f)$ another abelian surface $P(p, q)$. In this section we denote Ξ the principal polarization of the Prym variety $P(p)$. Recall the curves A and B of diagram (4.4) and let Θ_A and Θ_B denote the canonical principal polarizations of their Jacobians JA and JB . Moreover, we identify the elliptic curve A with its Jacobian.

Theorem 8.2. (a) *There is a canonical isomorphism of principally polarized abelian varieties*

$$(P(p), \Xi) \simeq (A, \Theta_A) \times (JB, \Theta_B).$$

(b) *If we consider JB as an abelian subvariety of JZ , we have an equality of polarized abelian varieties*

$$(P(p, q), \Xi|_{P(p, q)}) = (JB, \Theta_B).$$

Proof. (a): The Theorem of Mumford on Prym varieties of étale double coverings of hyperelliptic curves (see [Mu, Page 346]) applied to the following subdiagram of the full extended diagram

$$(8.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & Z & & \\ & p \swarrow & \downarrow r & \searrow \alpha & \\ Y & & B & & A \\ & \searrow \gamma & \downarrow & \swarrow & \\ & & C = \mathbb{P}^1 & & \end{array}$$

gives an isomorphism of principally polarized abelian varieties

$$(8.2) \quad (P(p), \Xi) \simeq (JA \times JB, \Theta_A \times JB + JA \times \Theta_B) = (A, \Theta_A) \times (JB, \Theta_B).$$

(b): Let Ξ_g denote the principal polarization of the Prym variety $P(g)$. The theorem of Mumford applied to the following subdiagram of the full extended diagram

$$(8.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & D & & \\ & g \swarrow & \downarrow & \searrow \beta & \\ X & & \mathbb{P}^1 & & E \\ & \searrow \delta & \downarrow & \swarrow & \\ & & \mathbb{P}^1 = Z/D_6 & & \end{array}$$

yields

$$(8.4) \quad (P(g), \Xi_g) \simeq (JE \times J\mathbb{P}^1, \Theta_E \times J\mathbb{P}^1 + \Theta_{\mathbb{P}^1} \times JE) = (E, \Theta_E).$$

where we use $J\mathbb{P}^1 = 0$ and identify $E = JE$.

The full extended diagram consists of diagrams (8.1) and (8.3) together with the maps f, q, ν, μ and $\bar{f}$ from (8.1) to (8.3). Since the Theorem of Mumford is certainly compatible with pull-backs with respect to f, q, ν, μ and $\bar{f}$, we get that q^* respects the decompositions (8.2) and (8.4). In particular we get

$$q^*(P(g)) = \mu^*(E) \times \nu^*(J\mathbb{P}^1) = A \times \{0\} = A.$$

Since α and r are ramified, we can consider A and JB as abelian subvarieties in JZ and thus of the Prym variety $P(p)$. Then (8.2) implies that the complement of the abelian subvariety A in $P(p)$ with respect to the polarization Ξ is JB .

Now let Θ denote the canonical principal polarization of the Jacobian JZ . Since

$$(8.5) \quad \Theta|_{P(p)} = 2\Xi,$$

the abelian variety JB is also the complement of A with respect to the polarization $\Theta|_{P(p)}$.

On the other hand, the Prym variety $P(p, q)$ of the pair of maps (p, q) is defined to be the complement of the abelian subvariety $q^*P(g) = A$ in $P(p)$ with respect to the polarization $\Theta|_{P(p)}$. Since the complement of an abelian subvariety with respect to a polarization is uniquely determined, we get $P(p, q) = JB$. The equality of the polarizations is a consequence of (8.2). $\square$

We want to determine the 3-division point η of the Jacobian JD inducing the cyclic covering $q : Z \rightarrow D$ of the diagram (2.1). More generally, consider $f : C \rightarrow D$ a cyclic étale covering of prime degree p and denote by Θ_C and Θ_D the canonical polarizations. The associated pull-back map of line bundles $f^* : JD \rightarrow JC$ is an isogeny onto its image with kernel a cyclic subgroup of order p generated by an element $\eta \in JD$. With this setting we have the following lemma.

Lemma 8.3. *Let $A \subset JD$ be an abelian subvariety with $\Theta_D|_A$ of type $(d_1, \dots, d_r)$. Then the polarization*

$$\Theta_C|_{f^*A} \text{ is of type } \begin{cases} (pd_1, \dots, pd_r) \\ (d_1, pd_2, \dots, pd_r) \end{cases} \text{ if } \begin{cases} \eta \notin A \\ \eta \in A. \end{cases}$$

Proof. According to [BL, Proposition 12.3.1], $(f^*)^*\Theta_C \equiv p\Theta_D$. This implies that $(f^*)^*\Theta_C|_A \equiv p\Theta_D|_A$ is of type $(pd_1, \dots, pd_r)$. Since $f^*|_A : A \rightarrow f^*(A)$ is an isomorphism if $\eta \notin A$ (here we use that p is a prime) and an isogeny of degree p if $\eta \in A$, this implies the assertion. $\square$

Proposition 8.4. *The 3-division point η of JD corresponding to the étale covering $q : Z \rightarrow D$ is contained in the elliptic curve $P(g) = E \subset JD$.*

Proof. Recall that Θ and Ξ are the canonical principal polarizations of JZ and $P(p)$. Since $g : D \rightarrow X$ is an étale double covering, $\Theta_D|_{P(g)}$ is of type (2). Hence by Lemma 8.3 the polarization

$$\Theta|_{q^*(P(g))} \text{ is of type } \begin{cases} (6) \\ (2) \end{cases} \text{ if } \begin{cases} \eta \notin P(g) \\ \eta \in P(g). \end{cases}$$

Now $q^*(P(g)) \subset P(p)$ and $\Theta|_{P(p)} = 2\Xi$. This yields

$$\Xi|_{q^*(P(g))} \text{ is of type } \begin{cases} (3) \\ (1) \end{cases} \text{ if } \begin{cases} \eta \notin P(g) \\ \eta \in P(g). \end{cases}$$

Since Ξ is a principal polarization and $P(p, q)$ is the complement of $g^*(P(g))$ with respect to $\Xi|_{P(p)}$, it follows that

$$\Xi|_{P(p, q)} \text{ is of type } \begin{cases} (1, 3) \\ (1, 1) \end{cases} \text{ if } \begin{cases} \eta \notin P(g) \\ \eta \in P(g). \end{cases}$$

But we know from Theorem 8.2 that $\Xi_{P(p,q)}$ is of type $(1,1)$. Hence $\eta \in P(g)$. The equality $P(g) = E$ is (8.4). $\square$

Remark 8.5. According to [LR, Proposition 2.4] the Prym variety $P(p,q)$ can also be defined as the complement of $p^*P(f)$ in the Prym variety $P(q)$. So we have an isogeny

$$P(q) \sim P(f) \times P(p,q).$$

Recall that $P(f)$ is a principally polarized Prym variety and according to Theorem 8.2 (b) $P(p,q)$ is also principally polarized. On the other hand, the restriction of the canonical principal polarization of JZ to $P(q)$ is of type $(1,1,3,3)$ and thus the above isogeny is not an isomorphism. Moreover, since $P(q) \simeq JB \times JB$ and $P(p,q) = JB$ by Theorem 8.2 (b), one deduces that $P(f)$ is isogenous to JB .

Remark 8.6. Observe that the number of étale (connected) double coverings $g : D \rightarrow X$ is $2^4 - 1 = 15$. On the other hand, according to Proposition 8.4, the étale triple coverings $q : Z \rightarrow D$ corresponding to the Galois closure of f are determined by a subgroup of order 3 of $E[3]$ and there are $(3^2 - 1)/2 = 4$ of them. Therefore, for every genus 2 curve X there are $15 \cdot 4 = 60$ choices for the Galois covering $Z \rightarrow X$, which agrees with Corollary 4.2.

REFERENCES

- [A] R. Accola: *Topics in the Theory of Riemann Surfaces*. Lectures Notes in Mathematics 1595, Springer - Verlag (1994).
- [ACGH] E. Arbarello, M. Cornalba, P. A. Griffiths, J. Harris : *Geometry of algebraic curves*, Volume I. Grundlehren der Math. Wiss. 267, Springer - Verlag (1985).
- [B] A. Beauville: *On the stability of the direct image of a generic vector bundle*. Preprint (available in <http://math.unice.fr/~beauvill/>).
- [BL] Ch. Birkenhake, H. Lange: *Complex Abelian Varieties*. Second edition, Grundlehren der Math. Wiss. 302, Springer - Verlag (2004).
- [EEHS] D. Eisenbud, N. Elkies, J. Harris, R. Speiser. *On the Hurwitz scheme and its monodromy*. Comp. Math, 77 (1991), 95-117.
- [FK] H. Farkas, I. Kra: *Riemann Surfaces*. Second edition, Springer - Verlag (1992).
- [H] J. Harris: *Galois groups of enumerative problems*. Duke Math. Journ. 46 (1979), 685-724.
- [LR] H. Lange, S. Recillas: *Prym varieties of pairs of coverings*. Adv. in Geometry 4 (2004), 373-387.
- [M] R. Miranda: *Triple covers in algebraic geometry*. Am. Journ. Math. 107 (1985), 1123-1158.
- [Mu] D. Mumford: *Prym varieties I*. In L.V. Ahlfors, I. Kra, B. Maskit, and L. Nirenberg, editors, *Contributions to Analysis*. Academic Press (1974), 325-350.
- [O] A. Ortega: *Variétés de Prym associées aux revêtements n-cycliques d'une courbe hyperelliptique*. Math. Z. 245 (2003), 97-103.
- [RR] S. Recillas, R. Rodríguez: *Jacobians and representations of $\mathcal{S}_3$* . Aportaciones matemáticas 13 (1998), 117-140.
- [R] J. Ries: *The Prym variety for a cyclic unramified cover of a hyperelliptic Riemann surface*. J. Reine Angew. Math. 340 (1983), 59-69.

H. LANGE, MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, UNIVERSITÄT ERLANGEN-NÜRNBERG, GERMANY
E-mail address: `lange@mi.uni-erlangen.de`

A. ORTEGA, INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, HUMBOLDT UNIVERSITÄT ZU BERLIN, GERMANY
E-mail address: `ortega@math.hu-berlin.de`